



# SCENTIA International Economic Review

## Peer-Review Template Version 1.2

Paper title:

Review date:

Reviewer ID:

DOI:

Section	Points to Ponder	Review comments and notes
Abstract, title and references	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Is the aim clear?</li><li>• Is it clear what the study found and how they did it?</li><li>• Is the title informative and relevant?</li><li>• Are the references:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Relevant?</li><li>• Recent?</li><li>• Referenced correctly?</li><li>• Are appropriate key studies included?</li></ul></li></ul>	
Introduction/ background	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Is it clear what is already known about this topic?</li><li>• Is the research question clearly outlined?</li><li>• Is the research question justified given what is already known about the topic?</li></ul>	
Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Is the process of subject selection clear?</li><li>• Are the variables defined and measured appropriately?</li><li>• Are the study methods valid and reliable?</li><li>• Is there enough detail in order to replicate the study?</li></ul>	
Results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Is the data presented in an appropriate way?<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Tables and figures relevant and clearly presented?</li><li>• Appropriate units, rounding, and number of decimals?</li><li>• Titles, columns, and rows labelled correctly and clearly?</li><li>• Categories grouped appropriately?</li></ul></li><li>• Does the text in the results add to the data or is it repetitive?</li><li>• Are you clear about what is a statistically significant result?</li><li>• Are you clear about what is a practically meaningful result?</li></ul>	
Discussion and Conclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Are the results discussed from multiple angles and placed into context without being overinterpreted?</li><li>• Do the conclusions answer the aims of the study?</li><li>• Are the conclusions supported by references or results?</li><li>• Are the limitations of the study fatal or are they opportunities to inform future research?</li></ul>	
Overall	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Was the study design appropriate to answer the aim?</li><li>• What did this study add to what was already known on this topic?</li><li>• What were the major flaws of this article?</li><li>• Is the article consistent within itself?</li><li>• Is the use of the English language sufficient?</li></ul>	

**Structure your comments into a full review:**

<p><b>Overall statement</b> or summary of the article and its findings in your own words</p>	
<p>Overall <b>strengths</b> of the article and what <b>impact</b> it might have in your field</p>	<p>1.</p>
<p>Specific comments on <b>weaknesses</b> of the article and what could be done to improve it</p>	<p>1.</p>